© Kamla-Raj 2015

A Survey of the Attitudes of Dumlupinar University Physical Education Vocational College and Faculty of Education Students on Computer-Assisted Education (CAE)

Baybars Recep Eynur

Dumlupinar University, Physical Education and Sport Vocational College, Kütahya, Turkey E-mail: eynurspor@gmail.com

KEYWORDS Physical Education. Vocational College. Faculty of Education Students. Computer-Assisted Education (CAE). Computer Competence

ABSTRACT The aim of this study is to investigate the attitudes of Dumlupinar University Physical Education Vocational College and the Faculty of Education students on Computer-Assisted Education. For this purpose, a "Computer-Assisted Education Attitude Scale" was used to determine the Computer-Assisted Education attitude of the students. The sample of the study is 214 students, selected randomly—110 female and 104 male—studying at Dumlupinar University Physical Education Vocational College and Faculty of Education. The Mann Whitney U for Gender Variable and the Kruskal Wallis H Test for other variable groups were used as statistical analyses to interpret the data; a significance level of 0.05 was accepted. In the study, it was determined that the students saw Computer-Assisted Education as a necessity in developing educational studies, but they didn't have enough knowledge about educational applications and forming content. It was revealed that the departments providing education on social sciences have less of a positive attitude than the other departments.

INTRODUCTION

From a macro perspective, education in a country is under two major powers. If these powers are thought to always exist, problems, especially in higher education, will never disappear. One of these powers is social demand and the other is the need for manpower (Rohlen 1987). When we look at the services from the perspective of manpower, we establish a mutual relationship between service and manpower or labour product (Rohlen 1987).

Universities today carry out their activities in an integrated way with a flow of information (Guri-Rosenblit 2009). Both students and academic staff have a heavy workload in academic studies. This heavy workload is predicted to be relieved by using technology in education (Clyde and Delohery 2004). It is known that technologies such as audio/video, telephone and wideband links have been discussed by technique

Address for correspondence: Dr. Baybars Recep Eynur Dumlupinar University, Beden Egitimi ve Spor Yuksekokulu, Kütahya Turkiye, Telephone:+90 (532) 591 3977 Fax: +90 (274) 227 0460 E-mail: eynurspor@gmail.com communication teachers and programme coordinators in distant training programmes for the past ten years (Baywood's Technical Communications Series: Online Education: Global Questions, Local Answers 2005; Darby 1992). Moreover, many university students carry out their education out of the classroom in different ways (Bach et al. 2006; Kezar 2011).

Today, using computers in educational activities affects the application and educational objectives and its comfort in the evaluation stage are clearly determined (Hodson et al. 2002). It is an important variable to teach teacher candidates computer-use skills, including computer technologies in educational environments and processes (Altun 2003). Moreover, a number of projects focusing on computer assistance have been suggested for both new and experienced teachers (Arenas-Marquez et al. 2012; Ersoy 2005).

Using technology in education increases the quality of education and provides the rising generations the ability to know and use technology. The computer is the leading technology among the technologies used in the educational environment and is used both inside and outside the classroom for aims such as presentation, research, report and homework (Yildirim and Kaban, 2010). Furthermore, it has been revealed in many studies that the computer is used in many higher education institutions on a basic level (Arnold 2007; Rainbow and Sadler-Smith 2003).

"Computer-Assisted Education" (CAE) is the act of teaching students the subjects through computers and measuring and evaluating information with the help of computers. Therefore, when CAE is mentioned, it is understood that it means "(the use of) computers as a means of a useful device for teachers in order to enrich education and increase the quality during educational activities" (Arslan 2003).

In other words, computers are devices that increase and positively affect the quality of an individual during his or her educational age, helping the student to concentrate on his or her lessons, helping effective learning, increasing creativity and success and making lessons interesting. CAE (Computer-Assisted Education) means "using computer technologies in education" (Keles and Keles 2002).

It is possible to use the computer in different ways in an educational environment. CAE is defined as directly presenting lesson content, revising the subjects learned with different methods, learning-teaching tools in activities such as problem solving and exercising. Although they look different, CAE applications show many similarities from the perspective of their duties, usage and aims (Yildirim and Kaban 2010). In many national and international programs carried out in this field, it has been emphasized that CAE is more successful than the education carried out with traditional education methods (Karamustafaoglu et al. 2002).

Universities make their services more effective by using technology. Using technology in education and offering students Computer-Assisted Education are highly important for sustainable competition (Powell 1999). Besides using technology, universities should teach their students the skills to use this technology; when these students graduate, they should have become individuals who will develop these skills and use them in their career. This study is of vital importance from the perspective of investigating the attitudes of teachers at Dumlupinar University who will shape the future.

Screening models, in the past or currently, have an existing condition that is aimed to describe the shape of the research approach.

METHODOLOGY

The survey method was used in the study. Survey models are research approaches which aim to describe a condition existing in the past or now as they were or as they are. The event, individual or object that is the subject of investigation is defined in their own conditions and as they are. They are never influenced to change their conditions or experiences. Their experiences are known to exist. The important thing is to properly observe and determine them (Karasar 2005).

The population of the research is the students of Dumlupinar University Physical Education Vocational College and Faculty of Education and the sample of the research is 214 students who were randomly chosen from the population (as shown in Table 1).

In the study, a Computer-Assisted Education Attitude Scale developed by Ali Arslan was used in order to determine the CAE attitude of the students (Arslan 2006). The scale is a 5-point Likert scale made up of 20 items. After forming the scale, the reliability co-efficient was determined to be 0.93 (Arslan 2006).

			Gender		Total	
			Male	Female		
Department	Pre-school	Count	13	13	26	
•		Total %	6.1	6.1	12.1	
	Physical Education	Count	37	22	59	
	-	Total %	17.3	10.3	27.6	
	Turkish	Count	26	14	40	
		Total %	12.1	6.5	18.7	
	Social Sciences	Count	16	19	35	
		Total %	7.5	8.9	16.4	
	Classroom Teacher	Count	12	42	54	
		Total %	5.6	19.6	25.2	
Total		Count	104	110	214	
		Total %	48.6	51.4	100.0	

Table	1:	Research	sample
-------	----	----------	--------

During data analysis, age, gender, department and class independent variables were examined when investigating the attitudes of the students in the study towards Computer-Assisted Education and the Mann Whitney U for gender; the Kruskal Wallis H Test for other variables were used in order to determine whether there was a meaningful difference between the other variables. On the other hand, the Tukey HSD test was used to determine the differences between different variables.

FINDINGS

The findings gathered from the research were evaluated in accordance with the Computer-Assisted Education Attitude Scale and were examined according to the independent variables. The findings were determined under four titles accordingly.

CAE Attitudes of Students According to Gender

Table 2 shows the meaningful differences and items in the attitudes of the participants towards computer-assisted teaching according to their gender. The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Teachers should be encouraged to use computers" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4776.000; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean =116.58). The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Computer is an effective tool to attract students' attention" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4677.500; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean =117.52). The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Students learn less with Computer-Assisted Education than other methods and techniques" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4856.000; p < 0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher (Mean =115.81) than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean =99.65). The

attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Lessons taught with the help of computers are more enjoyable" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4427.000; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher (Mean =119.93) than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean =95.75). The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Computers should be actively used in every classroom" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4648.500; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher (Mean =117.80) than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean =97.76). The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I think the computer is an effective teaching tool" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4318.000; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher (Mean = 120.98) than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean =94.75). The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I try using computers in my classes" statement showed a significant difference according to their gender (U=4413.000; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of male pre-service teacher students towards CAE were higher (Mean =120.07) than those of female pre-service teacher students (Mean = 95.62).

CAE Attitudes of Students According to Age Groups

Table 3 shows the significant differences and items in the attitudes of the participants towards computer-assisted teaching according to their age variable.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards "Students cannot improve their creativity in classes with Computer-Assisted Education" statement showed a significant difference according to their age range (χ^2 =6.969; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students in the 17-20 age group were the highest (Mean=120.15), for the students in the 25 and over age group, the attitudes were lower (Mean =104.18), and for the students in the 21-24 age group, the attitudes were the lowest

Table 2: Mann Whitney U test results of the students according to gender variable

		0 1		
Gender	n	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	116.58	4776.000	.028
Female	110	98.92		
Total	214			
-	Computer is an Effective To	ol to Attract Students' Atte	ntion	
Gender	n	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	117.52	4677.500	.016
Female	110	98.02		
Total	214			
	Students Learn Less with Computer Assisted	Education Than Other Me	thods and Techniques	
Gender	п	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	115.81	4856.000	.049
Female	110	99.65		
Total	214			
	Lessons Taught With The Help	of Computer Are More En	joyable	
Gender	n	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	119.93	4427.000	.003
Female	110	95.75		
Total	214			
	Computers Should Be Activ	vely Used in Every Classro	oom	
Gender	n	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	117.80	4648.500	.015
Female	110	97.76		
Total	214			
	I Think Computer is a	n Effective Teaching Tool		
Gender	п	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	120.98	4318.000	.001
Female	110	94.75		
Total	214			
	I Try Using Com	puter in My Classes		
Gender	п	Mean rank	U	р
Male	104	120.07	4413.000	.003
Female	110	95.62		
Total	214			

(Mean =97.28). Intergroup significance was observed between the 17-20 and 21-24 age groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I cannot associate education with the computer" statement showed a significant difference according to their age range (χ^2 =6.969; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students in the 17-20 age group were the highest (Mean=122.34), for the students in the 21-24 age group, the attitudes were lower (Mean=98.56), and for the students 25 and over

Age	n	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Intergroup
17-20	89	120.15	6.969	.031	1-2
21-24	103	97.28			
25 and over	22	104.18			
Total	214				
	I Co	annot Associate Education wi	ith Computer		
Age	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Intergroup
17-20	89	122.34	9.669	.008	1-2
21-24	103	98.56			
25 and over	22	89.30			
Total	214				
	Students Learn	n Better in the Lessons in whi	ch a Computer is	Used	
Age	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Intergroup
17-20	89	114.94	8.188	.017	2-3
21-24	103	96.20			
25 and over	22	130.32			
Total	214				
	Teaching with	th Computer Assisted Education	on Is a Waste of T	lime	
Age	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Intergroup
17-20	89	119.49	6.215	.045	1-2
21-24	103	98.09			
25 and over	22	103.05			
Total	214				

Table 3: Kruskall Wallis H test results of the students according to age variable

age group, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean =89.30). Intergroup significance was observed between the 17-20 and 21-24 age groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Students learn better in the lessons in which a computer is used" statement showed a significant difference according to their age range (χ^2 =8.188; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students in the 25 and over age group were the highest (Mean=130.32), for the students in the 17-20 age group, the attitudes were lower (Mean=114.94), and for the students in the 21-24 age group, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=96.20). Intergroup significance was observed between 21-24 and 25 and over age groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards "Teaching with Computer-Assisted Education is a waste of time" statement showed a significant difference according to their age range (χ^2 =6.215; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students in the 17-20 age group were the highest (Mean=119.49), for the students in the 25 and over age group, the attitudes were lower (Mean=103.05), and for the students in the 21-24 age group, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=98.09). Intergroup significance was observed between the 17-20 and 21-24 age groups.

CAE Attitudes of Students According to Their Departments

Table 4 shows the significant differences and items in the attitudes of the participants towards computer-assisted teaching according to their department variable.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards "The computer cannot effectively be used in education" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =10.749; p<0.05). It was determined that

Table 4: Kruskall Wallis H test results of the students according to department variable

	Computer	Cannot Effectively Be U	seu in Eureunon		
Department	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
Pre-School (PS)	26	106.44	10.749	.030	T-SS
Physical Education (PE)	59	115.08			PE-SS
Turkish (T)	40	118.06			
Social Sciences (SS)	35	78.06			
Classroom (C)	54	110.99			
Total	214				
	I. Dog dily A	d Willingh Use Comm	ton In Mar Langang		
	I Keaally Al	nd Willingly Use Comput	ter in My Lessons		
Department	n	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
Pre-School (PS)	26	122.75	20.480	.000	SS-T
Physical Education (PE)	59	108.79			SS-PE
Furkish (T)	40	130.63			SS-PS
Social Sciences (SS)	35	70.73			
Classroom (C)	54	105.45			
Total	214				
(Computer Assis	ted Education Is An Imp	portant Issue For M	1e	
Department	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
Pre-School (PS)	26	130.60	11.158	.025	SS-PS
Physical Education (PE)	59	105.77			
Furkish (T)	40	118.79			
Social Sciences (SS)	35	83.03			
Classroom (C)	54	105.77			
Fotal					
	214				
		Creativity in the Classe	es With Computer A	ssisted Educati	ion
Students Cannot		Creativity in the Classe Mean rank	es With Computer A χ^2	ssisted Educati	ion Groups
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS)	Improve Their	•	*		
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS)	Improve Their n	Mean rank	χ^2	р	
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE)	Improve Their n 26 59	Mean rank 90.69 117.53	χ^2	р	
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T)	Improve Their n 26 59 40	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03	χ^2	р	
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13	χ^2	р	
	Improve Their n 26 59 40	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03	χ^2	р	
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13	χ ² 11.634	<i>p</i> .020	
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I Le	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11	χ ² 11.634	<i>p</i> .020	
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I Le Department Pre-School (PS)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 pook For Ways T	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11	χ ² 11.634	p .020 ssons	Groups
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 Dock For Ways 1 n	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 pok For Ways T n 26	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 To Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups Groups SS-PS
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal <i>I La</i> Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 pok For Ways T n 26 59	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank Mean rank 136.46 111.46	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Curkish (T) Social Sciences (SS)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 pook For Ways T n 26 59 40	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Outpattent Department Preschool (PS) Classroom (C)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 Dock For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 To Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 pook For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 book For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 To Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer	p .020 ssons p .001	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T SS-PE
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 pook For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer χ^2	<u>р</u> .020 ssons р	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T SS-PE Groups
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fortal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal Department Pre-School (PS)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 book For Ways 1 n 26 59 40 35 54 214 1 1 Canno n 26	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94 t Associate Education W Mean rank 74.81	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer	p .020 ssons p .001	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-PE Groups SS-PS
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fortal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Curkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Preschool (PS) Physical Education (PE)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 Dook For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94 t Associate Education V Mean rank 74.81 108.97	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer χ^2	p .020 ssons p .001 p	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-PE Groups
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Curkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Curkish (T) Department Department Department Department Department Department	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 Dock For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94 t Associate Education W Mean rank 74.81	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer χ^2	p .020 ssons p .001 p	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T SS-PE Groups SS-PS
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Purkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I Le Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 Dook For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 Fo Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94 t Associate Education V Mean rank 74.81 108.97	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer χ^2	p .020 ssons p .001 p	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T SS-PE Groups SS-PS
Students Cannot Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal I La Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Social Sciences (SS) Classroom (C) Fotal Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T) Department Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Furkish (T)	Improve Their n 26 59 40 35 54 214 Dock For Ways T n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n 26 59 40 35 54 214 I Canno n 26 59 40 35 54 214	Mean rank 90.69 117.53 126.03 87.13 104.11 To Use Computer More E Mean rank 136.46 111.46 118.66 73.59 102.94 t Associate Education W 74.81 108.97 102.55	$\frac{\chi^2}{11.634}$ Effectively In My Let χ^2 19.221 With Computer χ^2	p .020 ssons p .001 p	Groups Groups SS-PS SS-T SS-PE Groups SS-PS

Table 4: Contd.

Stua	lents Learn Be	tter in the Lessons in wh	hich a Computer Is	Used	
Department	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
Pre-School (PS)	26	133.15	21.708	.000	SS-S
Physical Education (PE)	59	110.73			SS-T
Turkish (T)	40	117.61			SS-PE
Social Sciences (SS)	35	67.46			SS-PS
Classroom (C)	54	110.08			
Total	214				

Department	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
Pre-School (PS)	26	67.90	14.163	.007	PS-T
Physical Education (PE)	59	110.04			PS-SS
Turkish (T)	40	119.66			PS-PE
Social Sciences (SS)	35	118.39			
Classroom (C)	54	107.72			
Total	214				
	I Do Not W	ant to Spend too Much	Time on Computer		
Department	I Do Not W	ant to Spend too Much Mean rank	Time on Computer χ^2	р	Groups
Department Pre-School (PS)		*	*	<i>p</i> .029	Groups PS-SS
Pre-School (PS)	n	Mean rank	χ^2	-	
Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE)	n 26	Mean rank 75.94	χ^2	-	PS-SS
Pre-School (PS) Physical Education (PE) Turkish (T)	n 26 59	Mean rank 75.94 103.42	χ^2	-	PS-SS
	n 26 59 40	Mean rank 75.94 103.42 119.09	χ^2	-	PS-SS

the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Turkish teaching were the highest (Mean=118.06), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=115.08), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=110.99), for the students of Pre-School teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=106.44), and for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=78.06). Intergroup significance was observed between the Turkish Teacher-Social Science Teacher student groups and the Physical Education Teacher-Social Science Teacher student groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I readily and willingly use computers in my lessons" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =20.480; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Turkish teaching were the highest (Mean=130.63), for the students of Pre-School teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=122.75), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=108.79), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=105.45) and for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=70.73). Intergroup significance was observed between the Turkish Teacher-Social Science Teacher student groups and Pre-School Teacher-Social Science Teacher student groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Computer-Assisted Education is an important issue for me" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =11.158; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Pre-School teaching were the highest (Mean=130.60), for the students of Turkish teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=122.75), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=105.77), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=105.77) and for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=83.03). Intergroup significance was observed between the Pre-School Teacher student group and the Social Science Teacher student group.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Students cannot improve their creativity in the classes with Computer-Assisted Education" statement showed a significant difference according to their department $(\chi^2 = 11.634; p < 0.05)$. It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Turkish teaching were the highest (Mean=126.3), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=117.53), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=104.11), for the students of Pre-School teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=90.69), and for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=87.13).

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I look for ways to use computers more effectively in my lessons" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =19.221; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Pre-School teaching were the highest (Mean=136.46), for the students of Turkish teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=118.66), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=111.46), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=102.94), and for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=73.59). Intergroup significance was observed between the Turkish Teacher-Social Science Teacher student groups and Pre-School Teacher-Social Science Teacher student groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I cannot associate education with computers" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =10.957; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Social Science teaching were the highest (Mean=121.31), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=116.35), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=108.97), for the students of Turkish teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=102.55), and for the students of Pre-School teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=74.81). Intergroup significance was observed between the Pre-School Teacher-Social Science student groups and Classroom Teacher-Pre-School Teacher student groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Students learn better in the lessons in which a computer is used" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =21.708; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Pre-School teaching were the highest (Mean=133.15), for the students of Turkish teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=117.61), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=110.73), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=110.08), and for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=67.46). Intergroup significance was observed between the Pre-School Teacher-Social Science Teacher, Classroom Teacher-Social Science Teacher, Social Science Teacher-Turkish Teacher, and Social Science Teacher-Pre-School Teacher student groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards "The contribution of Computer-Assisted Education is not worth the effort" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =14.163; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Turkish teaching were the highest (Mean= 119.66), for the students of Social Science teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=118.39), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=110.04), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=107.72), and for the students of Pre-School teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=67.90). Intergroup significance was observed between the Pre-School Teacher-Social Science Teacher, Classroom Teacher-Social Science Teacher, Social Science Teacher-Turkish Teacher, Social Science Teacher-Pre-School Teacher student groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I do not want to spend too much time on the computer" statement showed a significant difference according to their department (χ^2 =10.832; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the students of Social Science teaching were the highest (Mean=121.63), for the students of Turkish teaching, the attitudes were high (Mean=119.09), for the students of Classroom teaching, the attitudes were medium (Mean=109.42), for the students of Physical Education teaching, the attitudes were low (Mean=103.42), and for the students of Pre-School teaching, the attitudes were the lowest (Mean=75.94). Intergroup significance was observed between the Pre-School Teacher-Social Science Teacher, Pre-School Teacher-Turkish Teacher student groups.

CAE Attitudes of Students According to Their Classes

Table 5 shows the significant differences and items in the attitudes of the participants towards computer-assisted teaching according to their class variable.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Computers cannot effectively be used in education" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =13.359; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=128.21), for the second class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=103.34), and for the fourth class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=92.03). Intergroup significance was observed between the first-second and the fourth class groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I readily and willingly use computers in my lessons" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =8.480; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=128.88), for the second class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=104.85), and for the fourth class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=94.64). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class and the fourth class groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I do not use computers to support lesson without an obligation" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^{2} =6.160; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=120.85), for the fourth class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=106.25), and for second class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=95.97). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class and the second class groups. Because sampling was selected randomly, there are not third class in this research.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Computer-Assisted Education is an important issue for me" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =6.226; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=120.10), for the second class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=108.14), and for the fourth class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=94.96). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class and the fourth class groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Students cannot improve their creativity in the classes with Computer-Assisted Education" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =17.269; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=131.89), for the second class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=99.63), and for the fourth class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=92.21). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class-fourth class and the first class-second class groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Students learn less with Computer-Assisted Education than other methods and techniques" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =6.324; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=122.46), for the fourth class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=101.08), and for the second class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=99.67). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class-fourth class and the first class-second class groups.

Table 5: Kruskall Wallis H test results of the students according to class variable

	Compu	ter Cannot Effectively Be	e Used in Educatio	on	
Class	n	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
1	69	128.21	13.359	.001	1-2
2 4	72 73	$103.34 \\ 92.03$			1-4
Total	214	72.05			
	I Readi	ly and Willingly Use Com	puter in My Lesso	ns	
Class	п	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
1	69	123.88	8.480	.014	1-4
2 4	72 73	104.85			
4 Total	214	94.64			
	I Do Not Use	Computer to Support Le	sson without an O	bligation	
Class	n	Mean rank	χ^2	р	Groups
1	69	120.85	6.106	.047	1-2
2	72	95.97			
4 Total	73 214	106.25			
Iotui					
		Assisted Education is an	* *		
Class	n	Mean rank	χ ²	<u>р</u>	Groups
1 2	69 72	120.10 108.14	6.226	.044	1-4
4	73	94.96			
Total	214				
	Students Cannot Improve T	heir Creativity In The Cl	asses With Comput	ter Assisted Educat	tion
Class	n	Mean rank	X^2	р	Groups
1 2	69 72	131.89	17.269	.000	1-2
		99.63			1-4
					1 4
4	73 214	92.21			1 4
4	73	92.21	ion Than Other Ma	ethods and Techniq	
4 Total	73 214	92.21	ion Than Other Mo χ^2	ethods and Techniq p	
4 Total Class 1	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46			jues
4 Total Class 1 2	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67	χ^2	р	jues
4 Total <i>Class</i> 1 2 4	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46	χ^2	р	jues
4 Total <i>Class</i> 1 2 4	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67	χ ² 6.324	<i>p</i> .042	jues
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug	92.21 Computer Assisted Educate Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Sht with the Help of Com	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$	p .042 ujoyable	gues Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n	92.21 Computer Assisted Educate Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Sht with the Help of Com Mean rank	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En	p .042 ujoyable p	gues Groups Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69	92.21 Computer Assisted Educate Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Spht with the Help of Comp Mean rank 120.40	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$	p .042 ujoyable	gues Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 73	92.21 Computer Assisted Educate Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Sht with the Help of Com Mean rank	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En	p .042 ujoyable p	gues Groups Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Solution with the Help of Common Mean rank 120.40 92.09	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En	p .042 ujoyable p	gues Groups Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Solution with the Help of Common Mean rank 120.40 92.09	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$	p .042 ujoyable 	gues Groups Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214	92.21 Computer Assisted Educate Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 3ht with the Help of Com Mean rank 120.40 92.09 110.51	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not Wa χ^2	p .042 ujoyable 	gues Groups Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 1 2 4 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug 72 73 214 Contribution of n 69 72 73 214	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 200 2009 110.51 f Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 120.40 92.09 110.51 f Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 127.22	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not We	p .042 ijoyable <u>p</u> .016 orth the Effort	Groups Groups Groups 1-2
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total 2 4 Total 2 4 Total 2 4 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 The Contribution of n 69 72 73 214	92.21 <i>Computer Assisted Education</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 122.46 99.67 101.08 <i>Both with the Help of Com</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 120.40 92.09 110.51 <i>f Computer Assisted Educe</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 127.22 91.67	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not Wa χ^2	p .042 ujoyable p .016 orth the Effort p	gues Groups Groups 1-2 Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total 2 4 Total 2 4 Total 2 4 2 4 1 2 4 2 4 2 4 1 2 4 2 5 2 4 2 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug 72 73 214 Contribution of n 69 72 73 214	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 200 2009 110.51 f Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 120.40 92.09 110.51 f Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 127.22	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not Wa χ^2	p .042 ujoyable p .016 orth the Effort p	gues Groups Groups 1-2 Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total 2 4 Total 2 4 Total 2 4 2 4 1 2 4 2 4 2 4 1 2 4 2 5 2 4 2 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 The Contribution of n 69 72 73 214 Contribution of 72 73 214	92.21 <i>Computer Assisted Education</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 122.46 99.67 101.08 <i>Both with the Help of Com</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 120.40 92.09 110.51 <i>f Computer Assisted Educe</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 127.22 91.67	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not Wo $\frac{\chi^2}{12.597}$	p .042 ujoyable p .016 orth the Effort p	gues Groups Groups 1-2 Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 The Contribution of n 69 72 73 214 Contribution of 72 73 214	92.21 <i>Computer Assisted Education</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 122.46 99.67 101.08 3ht with the Help of Comp <i>Mean rank</i> 120.40 92.09 110.51 <i>f Computer Assisted Educe</i> <i>Mean rank</i> 127.22 91.67 104.47	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not Wo $\frac{\chi^2}{12.597}$	p .042 ujoyable p .016 orth the Effort p	gues Groups Groups 1-2 Groups
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 The Contribution of n 69 72 73 214 I The I The	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 3ht with the Help of Common Mean rank 120.40 92.09 110.51 f Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 127.22 91.67 104.47 ink Computer is an Effect Mean rank 115.96	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not We $\frac{\chi^2}{12.597}$ ive Teaching Tool	p .042 ujoyable p .016 orth the Effort p .002	Groups Groups 1-2 Groups 1-2
4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class 1 2 4 Total Class Class	73 214 Students Learn Less with C n 69 72 73 214 Lessons Taug n 69 72 73 214 The Contribution of n 69 72 73 214 I The n 1 The n	92.21 Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 122.46 99.67 101.08 Solution with the Help of Comp Mean rank 120.40 92.09 110.51 f Computer Assisted Education Mean rank 127.22 91.67 104.47 Solution Computer is an Effect Mean rank	$\frac{\chi^2}{6.324}$ puter are More En $\frac{\chi^2}{8.283}$ ation Does Not We $\frac{\chi^2}{12.597}$ vive Teaching Tool χ^2	p .042 ujoyable p .016 orth the Effort p .002	Groups Groups 1-2 Groups 1-2

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "Lessons taught with the help of computers are more enjoyable" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =8.283; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=120.40), for the fourth class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=110.51), and for the second class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=92.09). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class and second class groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards "The contribution of Computer-Assisted Education is not worth the effort" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =12.597; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=127.22), for the fourth class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=104.47), and for the second class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=91.67). Intergroup significance was observed between the first class and second class groups.

The attitude levels of pre-service teacher students towards the "I think the computer is an effective teaching tool" statement showed a significant difference according to their classes (χ^2 =12.597; p<0.05). It was determined that the attitudes of pre-service teacher students towards CAE for the first class students were the highest (Mean=115.96), for the fourth class students, the attitudes were medium (Mean=115.05), and for the second class students, the attitudes were low (Mean=91.74).

DISCUSSION

When the gathered findings were evaluated, it can be said that male students had a significantly higher level of attitude (p<0.05) towards CAE compared to the female students and they tried to take advantage of CAE practices whenever possible. This situation was surprisingly encountered in the field directory (Viberg and Grönlund 2013).

When the gathered findings were evaluated in accordance with the age variable, it was seen that, of pre-service teacher students who participated in the survey, the students who belonged to the youngest age group had a low level of attitude towards CAE (Table 3). This situation could result from the fact that computer skills could not be determined for all of the students accepting the existence of computers. Increasing age does not lead to having a negative attitude towards CAE; in contrast, it increases the attitude. It is predicted that older students will take more advantage of CAE than younger students in their career if they have sufficient education. Furthermore, the competence levels of teachers on computer use were investigated in previous studies and significant differences were observed in the motivation, attitude and competency of the teachers and candidates who had computer education (Birgin et al. 2009; Kocasaraç 2003; Mutlu and Eroz-Tuga 2013; Winberg and Hedman 2008).

When the gathered findings were evaluated in accordance with the department variable, significant differences were seen between groups according to the department variable (p < 0.05). When the gathered findings of the research were evaluated in accordance with the department variable, it was determined that the students of Social Sciences teaching, in particular, had a negative attitude towards CAE, but they still emphasized the necessity of CAE (Table 4). The fact that Social Sciences Teacher students stated that they did not believe CAE could properly provide education showed that there was inconsistency between CAE practices and department content and if Social Science lessons were aimed to teach with CAE, the content and the practice could be in harmony. In a study on Social Sciences classes, it was determined that since they can easily reach the necessary documents and immediately present them, Social Science teachers tended to use internet-assisted teaching rather than CAE (Acikalin and Duru 2005). Moreover, it was suggested that Web-based works were effective in language teaching, but more detailed studies needed to be carried out so that they could be more effective (Arnold 2007). Although CAE is regarded as an effective method for the lessons belonging to Science and other applied sciences, it should be assessed for other methods. In a study, narrative, modelling and computer-assisted teaching methods were used in order to teach cell division in three different groups and it was determined that modelling, and computer-assisted teaching methods tended to show a tendency from success to failure, respectively (Gunes and Celikler 2010). It was determined that proper use of CAE for university students from different departments showed a positive influence on learning and a positive attitude towards CAE (Basile and D'Aquila 2002; Wiebe and Kabata 2010). An important issue on this point is the content quality of the practice (Kessler 2007).

When the gathered findings were evaluated in accordance with the class variable, significant differences were seen between class groups (p<0.05). It was determined that the fourth class students who participated in the study showed a more positive attitude when computers were effectively used, but they did not use computers readily and willingly in the classes (Table 5). Furthermore, it was determined that the second class students were trying to use computers the most to support the lesson, but they showed less attitude than that of the first class students on the aspect of the importance of Computer-Assisted Education. Although the first class students supported computer-assisted teaching more than the other classes, their high attitude for the negative statement stating that students cannot improve their creativity in the classes with Computer-Assisted Education showed that their competence on computer use should be reviewed. Although the fact that the first class students advocated the idea stating that students learn less with Computer-Assisted Education than other methods and techniques showed internal consistency between the findings, it attracted attention to the competence of the first class students on computer use. Moreover, the fact that the first class students advocated the idea that lessons taught with the help of computers are more enjoyable and their attitudes on "The contribution of Computer-Assisted Education is not worth the effort" and on "I think the computer is an effective teaching tool" showed that they had an adequate level of attitude and vision on Computer-Assisted Education, but incompetence in practice was displayed. Although there are many works about Computer-Assisted Education in educational areas, there are very few studies on higher education. The new research by Achtenhagen and Winther supports the results of our study about computer use competence in developed nations (Achtenhagen and Winther 2014).

CONCLUSION

The research has shown that sex, readable sections and classes in Computer-Assisted Education has revealed a factor affecting attitudes. This case illustrates that the prospective teachers for computer-assisted instruction in pre-university education are not currently displaying a sufficient readiness level.

According to the results, CAE is implemented in more science classes and the implementation of internet-based teaching practices in the social sciences has been identified. This study revealed that social science students had a lower attitude of CAE than the students of the other departments. However, in this case, the resulting computer-aided teaching of science also shows that it is definitely more effective than other teaching methods.

This study revealed that students regarded CAE as a necessity in developing educational practices, but they had some deficiencies on education practices and forming content. CAE certainly could not meet all of the needs in educational applications, but this does not mean that CAE should be kept out of educational practices.

RECOMMENDATIONS

A number of suggestions on how to carry out these educational applications are described below.

The most important factors affecting the results of this study are the measurement and prognostic tools in the study sample. The expansion of the sample in the process, the results of the evaluation across the whole country, is thought to help take the usual precautions. This is also a scale of other measures that will create or readdress this issue in developing education services provided to date. It is vital to be able to support the activities in the basic educational policies in the country in these results.

To make the CAE more effective, support should be given to the first application of concept maps for the area.

New curriculum should be formed in accordance with computer and computer applications and pre-service teachers should be educated with the competency that enables them to cope with the contemporary requirements.

Experimental studies on CAE practices in different departments of Education Faculties should be encouraged.

REFERENCES

Achtenhagen F, Winther E 2014. Workplace-based competence measurement: Developing innovative assessment systems for tomorrow's VET programmes. *Journal of Vocational Education and Training*, 66(3): 281-295. doi: 10.1080/13636820.2014.916740

- Acikalin M, Duru E 2005. The use of Computer technologies in the social studies classroom. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology – TOJET*, 4(2): 18-27.
- Altun A 2003. Ögretmen Adaylarinin Bilissel Stilleri ile Bilgisayara Yönelik Tutumlari Arasindaki Iliskinin Incelenmesi. The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET, 2(1): 56-62.
- Arenas-Marquez FJ, Machuca JAD, Medina-Lopez C 2012. Interactive learning in operations management higher education Software design and experimental evaluation. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 32(12): 1395-1426.
- Arnold N 2007. Technology-mediated learning 10 years later: Emphasizing pedagogical or utilitarian applications? Foreign Language Annals, 40(1): 161-181.
- Arslan A 2006. Bilgisayar Destekli Egitim Yapmaya Iliskin Tutum Ölçegi. Yüzüncü Yil Üniversitesi Egitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 2(1): 24-33.
- Arslan B 2003. Bilgisayar destekli egitime tabi tutulan ortaögretim ögrencileriyle bu süreçte egitici olarak rol alan ögretmenlerin BDE'e iliskin görüsleri. The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology -TOJET, 2(3): 67-75.
- Bach S, Haynes P, Lewis Smith J 2006. Online Learning and Teaching in Higher Education. Buckingham, GBR: Open University Press.
- Basile A, D'aquila JM 2002. An experimental analysis of computer-mediated instruction and student attitudes in a principles of financial accounting course. *Journal of Education for Business*, 77(3): 137-143.
- Baywood's Technical Communications Series: Online Education: Global Questions, Local Answers. 2005. Amityville, NY, USA: Baywood Publishing Company, Inc.
- Birgin O, Çatlioglu H, Costu S, Aydin S 2009. The investigation of the views of student mathematics teachers towards computer-assisted mathematics instruction. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1): 676-680. doi: From http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.118>.
- Clyde W, Delohery A 2004. Using Technology in Teaching. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press.
- Ersoy Y 2005. Matematik Egitimini Yenileme Yönünde Ileri Hareketler-1: Teknoloji Destekli Matematik Ögretimi. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology – TOJET*, 4(2): 51-63.
- Guri-Rosenblit S 2009. Digital Technologies in Higher Education: Sweeping Expectations and Actual Effects. New York, NY, USA: Nova Science Publishers, Incorporated.
- Günes MH, Çelikler D 2010. The investigation of effects of modelling and computer assisted instruction

on academic achievement. The International Journal of Educational Researchers, 1(1): 20-27

- Hodson P, Saunders D, Stubbs G 2002. Computer-assisted assessment: Staff viewpoints on its introduction within a new university. *Innovations in Education* and Teaching International, 39(2): 145-152.
- Karamustafaoglu O, Aydin M, Özmen H 2002. Bilgisayar Destekli Fizik Etkinliklerinin Ögrenci Kazanimlarina Etkisi: Harmonik Hareket Örnegi. *Turkish Online*, 67-81.
- Karasar PDN 2005. Bilimsel Arastirma Yöntemi. 15th Edition. Ankara: Nobel Yayin Dagitim.
- Keles A, Keles A 2002. 2 Aralik. Bilgisayar Destekli Ögretim ve Zeki Ögretim Sistemleri. Paper presented at the Inet-Tr Istanbul.
- Kessler G 2007. Formal and informal CALL Preparation and teacher attitude toward technology. *Computer* Assisted Language Learning, 20(2): 173-188.
- Kezar AJ 2011. New Pedagogies and Practices for Teaching in Higher Education : Blended Learning: Across the Disciplines, Across the Academy. Sterling, VA, USA: Stylus Publishing.
- Kocasaraç H 2003. Bilgisayarlarin Ögretim Alanında Kullanimina Iliskin Ögretmen Yeterlilikleri. The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology – TOJET, 2(3): 77-85.
- Mutlu A, Eroz-Tuga B 2013. The role of computerassisted language learning (CALL) in promoting learner autonomy. *Egitim Arastirmalari-Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 13(51): 107-122.
- Powell JV 1999. Interrelationships between importance, knowledge and attitude of the inexperienced. Computers and Education, 32(2): 127-136.
- Rainbow SW, Sadler-Smith E 2003. Attitudes to computer-assisted learning amongst business and management students. *British Journal of Educational Tech*nology, 34(5): 615-624.
- Rohlen TP. 1987 Japonya'da Maneviyat Egitimi (Yazgan, Trans.). Istanbul: Tür Dünyasi Arastirmalari Vakfi.
- Viberg O, Gronlund A 2013. Cross-cultural analysis of users' attitudes toward the use of mobile devices in second and foreign language learning in higher education: A case from Sweden and China. *Computers* and Education, 69: 169-180: doi: 10.1016/j. compedu.2013.07.0
- Wiebe G, Kabata K 2010. Students' and instructors' attitudes toward the use of call in foreign language teaching and learning. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 23(3): 221-234.
- Winberg TM, Hedman L 2008. Student attitudes toward learning, level of pre-knowledge and instruction type in a computer-simulation: Effects on flow experiences and perceived learning outcomes. *Instructional Science: An International Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 36(4): 269-287.
- Yildirim S, Kaban A 2010. Attitudes of pre-service teachers about computer supported education. *International Journal of Human Sciences*, 7(2): 158-168.